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## Chapter 1

## Probability Spaces

Chapter 2
Random Variables

## Chapter 3

## 2d Random Vectors

### 3.1 Generalities and dimension 2

## Definition 3.1.1

Let $\Omega$ be a probability space. An $n$ dimensional (real) random vector is a map $X$ from $\Omega$ valued in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, with each component being a rrv $X_{i}$.

Exemple: Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the experiment where one observes the length of a program submitted for running, together with its running time. Then elements of space $\Omega$ are of the form $\omega=(n, t)$ where $n$ is the number of lines in the program and $t$ the running time in seconds. Let $X=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$, with $X_{1}(\omega)=n$ and $X_{2}(\omega)=t$. This is a 2d random vector. Here $X_{1}$ is a drv and $X_{2}$ is a crv.

We say that a random vector is continuous if all its components are so, and discrete if this is so for tis components.

To simplify, we only consider in general the case of 2 d random vectors .
Thus here $n=2$.
Moreover, we shall only deal mostly with continuous rv .
Let us first introduce the definition

## Definition 3.1.2

The joint distribution function (or more simply the joint distribution ) $F_{X, Y}(x, y)$ of two arbitrary random variables $X$ and $Y$ is defined by

$$
F_{X, Y}(x, y)=F(x, y)=P(X \leq x, Y \leq y)
$$

## Properties

1. 

$$
F(-\infty, y)=0, F(x,-\infty)=0, F(+\infty,+\infty)=1
$$

2. 

$$
P\left(x_{1}<X \leq x_{2}, Y \leq y\right)=F\left(x_{2}, y\right)-F\left(x_{1}, y\right)
$$

et

$$
P\left(X \leq x, y_{1}<Y \leq y_{2}\right)=F\left(x, y_{2}\right)-F\left(x, y_{1}\right)
$$

3. 

$$
P\left(x_{1}<X \leq x_{2}, y_{1}<Y \leq y_{2}\right)=F\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)-F\left(x_{1}, y_{2}\right)-F\left(x_{2}, y_{1}\right)+F\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)
$$

## Definition 3.1.3

If $(X, Y)$ is a random vector, we say that $X$ and $Y$ are independent random variables if

$$
P(X \in A, Y \in B)=P(X \in A) P(Y \in B)
$$

for all events $A$ and $B$.
On can show that
Proposition 3.1.1
If $X$ and $Y$ are two independent rv, then $g(X)$ and $h(Y)$ are also independent, for any continuous functions $g$ and $h$.
et
Proposition 3.1.2
$X$ and $Y$ are independent iff

$$
F_{X, Y}(x, y)=F_{X}(x) F_{Y}(y), \forall(x, y)
$$

### 3.2 The case of a couple of continuous rv

In this section, $(X, Y)$ is a couple of continuous rv

## Definition 3.2.1

If $Z=(X, Y)$ is a continuous random vector, we say that $f_{X, Y}$ is a joint probability density function of the couple $(X, Y)$ if, for any event $A$, we have

$$
P(A)=P(Z \in A)=\iint_{A} f_{X, Y}(x, y) d x d y
$$

Note that

$$
f_{X, Y}(x, y) d x d y \simeq P(x<X \leq x+d x, y<Y \leq y+d y)
$$

In particular $f_{X, Y}$ is positive. Moreover, its integral is 1 , which means that it is a (physical) density.

In particular,

$$
F_{X, Y}(x, y)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} \int_{-\infty}^{y} f_{X, Y}(u, v) d u d v
$$

Note that we get

$$
f_{X, Y}(x, y)=\frac{\partial^{2} F_{X, Y}(x, y)}{\partial x \partial y}
$$

From $F_{X, Y}$, we recover

$$
F_{X}(x)=P(X \leq x)=P(X \leq x, Y<+\infty)=F_{X, Y}(x,+\infty)
$$

and similarly

$$
F_{Y}(y)=F_{X, Y}(+\infty, y)
$$

These are the marginal distribution functions.
Note also that

$$
f_{X}(x)=\partial_{x} F(x,+\infty) \text { et } f_{Y}(y)=\partial_{Y} F(+\infty, y)
$$

## Marginal statistics

Finally, note that

$$
f_{X}(x)=\ldots=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_{X, Y} d y
$$

and similarly for $f_{Y}(y)$. These are the marginal probability density functions .
One can show that

## Proposition 3.2.1

$X$ and $Y$ are independent iff

$$
f_{X, Y}(x, y)=f_{X}(x) f_{Y}(y) \text { if }(X, Y) \text { a continuous random vector }
$$

Exemple: (Buffon needle) A thin needle of length $2 a$ is thrown at random on an horizontal plan, recovered with parallel lines (to the $y$ axis) with inter-distance of $2 b$, with $b>a$. One can show that the probability that the needle touchs one of these lines is $2 a / \pi b$.
In terms of rv, let us introduce the rv X, distance from the center of the needle to the closest line, and the rv $\Theta$ given by the angle between the needle and the direction orthogonal to the lines (that is in the direction of the $x$ axis). We can assume that the rv X and $\Theta$ are independent, that X is uniform over $(0, b)$ and that $\Theta$ is uniform over $(0, \pi / 2)$. We deduce that

$$
f(x, \theta)=f_{X}(x) f_{\Theta}(\theta)=\frac{1}{b} \frac{2}{\pi}, 0 \leq x \leq b, 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi / 2
$$

and 0 elsewhere. Thus the probability that the point $(X, \Theta)$ will be in a region $D \subset R=[0, b] \times[0, \pi / 2]$ will be the area of $D$ multiplied by $2 / \pi b$.
Here, the needle will intersect one of the lines if $X<a \cos \Theta$. Thus

$$
p=P(X<a \cos \Theta)=\frac{2}{\pi b} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} a \cos \theta d \theta=\frac{2 a}{\pi b}
$$

One can use this result to find experimentally the number $\pi$ using the frequency interpretation of $p$. If the needle is thrown $n$ times, and if it intersects one of the lines $n_{i}$ times, then

$$
p=\frac{2 a}{\pi b} \simeq \frac{n_{i}}{n} \text { et donc } \pi \simeq \frac{2 a n}{b n_{i}}
$$

Of course, experimentally means using numerical simulation.
$\odot$
In fact we have also

## Proposition 3.2.2

Let $(X, Y)$ be a continuous random vector. Assume that $X \times Y(\Omega)$ is a rectangle $] a, b[\times] c, d[$. Then $X$ and $Y$ are independent iff we can write

$$
f_{X, Y}(x, y)=g(x) h(y)
$$

with $g(x)>0$ for $x \in] a, b[$ and $h(y)>0$ for $y \in] c, d[$.

Exemple: Circular symmetry We say that the joint density of two rv X and Y is radial if it depends only on the distance, that is

$$
f(x, y)=g(r) \text { with } r=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}
$$

Let us show that if the rv $X$ and $Y$ are circular symmetric and independent, then they are normal laws with null mean and equal variances.
Indeed, the independance implis that

$$
g\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}\right)=f_{X}(x) f_{Y}(y)
$$

Deriving this relation wrt $x$, and dividing the result by $x g(r)=x f_{X}(x) f_{Y}(y)$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{r} \frac{g^{\prime}(r)}{g(r)}=\alpha=\text { constant }
$$

thus we deduce that $g(r)=A e^{\alpha r^{2} / 2}$, and going back to $f$, we can show that $X$ and $Y$ are normal laws with null mean and variance $\sigma^{2}=-1 / \alpha . \odot$

### 3.3 Bi-normal law: introduction

## Definition 3.3.1

We say that two rv are jointly normal or bi-normal if their joint density is given by

$$
f(x, y)=\operatorname{Aexp}\left\{-\frac{1}{2\left(1-r^{2}\right)}\left[\frac{\left(x-\mu_{1}\right)}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}-2 r \frac{\left(x-\mu_{1}\right)\left(y-\mu_{2}\right)}{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}+\frac{\left(y-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{2}^{2}}\right]\right\}
$$

where the constant $A$ is given

$$
A=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sqrt{1-r^{2}}} \text { avec }|r|<1
$$

and the other parameters are given.
We denote $(X, Y) \sim N\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2} ; \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2} ; r\right)$.
Note that the quadratic form in the exponential function is a negative quadratic form, because $|r|<1$.

One can show that $\mu_{1}$ et $\mu_{2}$ are the means of $X$ and $Y$ resp, while $\sigma_{1}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{2}^{2}$ are their resp. variances. The interpretation of the parameter $r$ will be given later on (this is in fact the correlation coefficient).

All these results amount to show that the marginal densities of $X$ and $Y$ are given by

$$
f_{X}(x)=\frac{1}{\sigma_{1} \sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\left(x-\mu_{1}\right)^{2} / 2 \sigma_{1}^{2}} \text { et } f_{Y}(y)=\frac{1}{\sigma_{2} \sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\left(y-\mu_{2}\right)^{2} / 2 \sigma_{2}^{2}}
$$

This fact comes by using the definition and integrating wrt $x$ or $y$, and by writing that the term in brackets can be written as

$$
[]=\left[\frac{x-\mu_{1}}{\sigma_{1}}+\frac{y-\mu_{2}}{\sigma_{2}}\right]^{2}+\left(1-r^{2}\right) \frac{\left(y-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{2}^{2}}
$$

Remarque: We have seen that, if X and Y are bi-normal, then their marginal laws X and Y are (separately)
normal. The reciproque is not true.
To see this, we shall construc two rv $X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ which are marginally normal but not bi-normal.
We start from two rv X and Y bi-normal, with a joint density $f(x, y)$ given by the previous definition.

Consider the set $D$ made by four symmetric small disks located in each of the $1 / 4$ part of the plane. For small enough $\varepsilon$, introduce a new function $f_{1}(x, y)$ being $f_{1}(x, y)=f(x, y) \pm \varepsilon$ in $D$ and $f(x, y)$ outside. Note that $f_{1}$ is a density by construction. So it can be associated with two new rv $X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$.

Note that $X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ are not bi-normal, as their joint density $f_{1}$ cannot be written as a negative exponential. On the other hand, $X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ are marginally normal. Indeed, it is enough to recall that we just need to integrate wrt one of the variables $x$ or $y$.

### 3.4 The case of a discrete couple of rv

Let $Z=(X, Y)$ be a 2 d random vector, so that que $Z(\Omega)$ is at most countable. Then we can write

$$
Z(\Omega) X \times Y(\Omega)=\left\{\left(x_{j}, y_{k}\right), \ldots\right\}
$$

The notion of distribution function is defined similarly and in factLa notion de fonction de répartition se définit de la même facon, et plus précisement,

## Proposition 3.4.1

If $(X, Y)$ is a discrete random vector, then

$$
F_{X, Y}(x, y)=\sum_{x_{j} \leq x, y_{k} \leq y} p_{X, Y}\left(x_{j}, y_{k}\right)
$$

## Definition 3.4.1

If $(X, Y)$ is a discrete random vector, the joint probability mass function of this vector is defined by

$$
p_{X, Y}\left(x_{j}, y_{k}\right)=P\left(X=x_{j}, Y=y_{k}\right)
$$

These are positive numbers. Summation over the two indices is equal to 1 .
If $A$ is an event wrt $Z(\Omega)$, that $A \subset Z(\Omega)$, then

$$
P(A)=\sum_{\left(x_{j}, y_{k}\right) \in A} p_{X, Y}\left(x_{j}, y_{k}\right)
$$

Using the total probability rule, we get

$$
p_{X}\left(x_{j}\right)=\sum_{k} P\left(X=x_{j}, Y=y_{k}\right)=\sum_{k} p_{X, Y}\left(x_{j}, y_{k}\right)
$$

et de même

$$
p_{Y}\left(y_{k}\right)=\sum_{j} P\left(X=x_{j}, Y=y_{k}\right)=\sum_{j} p_{X, Y}\left(x_{j}, y_{k}\right)
$$

Functions $p_{X}$ and $p_{y}$ are called the fmarginal probability pass functions ; they are obtained by summing iver one of the two indices.

Exemple: A box contains 6 transistors, among them 1 from brand A and 1 from brand B. Two transistors are taken at random and with reset. Let $X$, resp. Y, the number of transistors with brand A, resp, brand $B$ among the two taken out. Set $Z=(X, Y)$. Then the joint probability mass function $p_{X, Y}$ is given by the following table:

| $y \mid x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $16 / 36$ | $8 / 36$ | $1 / 36$ |
| 1 | $8 / 36$ | $2 / 36$ | 0 |
| 2 | $1 / 36$ | 0 | 0 |

Note that the rv $X$ and $Y$ both follow a binomial law $B(n=2, p=1 / 6)$. Computing, we find for example that $P(X+Y \geq 1)=5 / 9$.
$\odot$

## Proposition 3.4.2

$(X, Y)$ are two independent $r v$ iff

$$
p_{X, Y}\left(x_{j}, y_{k}\right)=p_{X}\left(x_{j}\right) p_{Y}\left(y_{k}\right)
$$

### 3.5 Moments of a couple of rv

Let $X$ and $Y$ be two rv, let a function $g(x, y): \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Introduce the rv

$$
Z=g(X, Y)
$$

Then the expectation of $Z$ is given by

$$
E(z)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} z f_{Z}(z) d z
$$

which seems to require the computation of $f_{Z}$ in terms of the joint density $f_{X, Y}$. In fact, it is often useless because

## Theorem 3.5.1

Let $(X, Y)$ be a (continuous) randome vector, and $Z=g(X, Y)$. The expectation of $Z$ is then given by

$$
E(Z)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x, y) f_{X, Y}(x, y) d x d y
$$

This is coherent is the sense that if $g$ depends only on $x$, then

$$
E(g(X))=\iint g(x) f_{X, Y}(x, y) d x d y=\int g(x) f_{X}(x) d x
$$

and we recover a known formula.
Remarque: If $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ is discrete, then

$$
E(Z)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} g\left(x_{j}, y_{k}\right) p_{X, Y}\left(x_{j}, y_{k}\right)
$$

Remarque: We recover that the expectation is linear:

$$
E(X+Y)=E(X)+E(Y)
$$

and more generally that

$$
E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} g_{k}(X, Y)\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} E\left(g_{k}(X, Y)\right)
$$

Note that in general

$$
E(X Y) \neq E(X) E(Y)
$$

However, if X and Y are independent rv , then for all functions $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$, we have

$$
E\left(g_{1}(X) g_{2}(Y)\right)=E\left(g_{1}(X)\right) E\left(g_{2}(Y)\right)
$$

## Definition 3.5.1

The correlation of $X$ and $Y$ is defined to be the number $E(X Y)$. If this correlation is zero, we say
that the rv $X$ and $Y$ are orthogonal, denoted by $E \perp T$.
The covariance of $X$ and $Y$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=\sigma_{X, Y}=E((X-E(X))(Y-E(Y)))=\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=E(X Y)-E(X) E(Y)
$$

The correlation coefficient is defined by

$$
\operatorname{Corr}(X, Y)=\varrho_{X, Y}=\frac{\operatorname{cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y}}
$$

Remarque: i) Note that $\operatorname{Cov}(X, X)=\operatorname{var}(X)$. Thus the covariance generalizes the variance, but could change signe.
ii) If $X$ and $Y$ are independent, then $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=0$.
ii') Note that the rv $X$ et $Y$ on one hand, and $X-\mu_{X}$ and $Y-\mu_{Y}$ on the other hand, have the same covariances and correlation coefficients.
iii) The correlation coefficient is a measure without units, measuring the linear link between $X$ and Y. Ona can show that $\left|\varrho_{X, Y}\right| \leq 1$. Moreover if $Y=a X+b$, then $\left|\varrho_{X, Y}\right|=1$, that is $|\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)| \leq \sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y}$.
iv) If $X$ and $Y$ are independent, then $\varrho_{X, Y}=0$. The converse is not always true. If $\varrho_{X, Y}=0$ but $X$ and $Y$ are not independent, we say that they are simply non correlated , that is

$$
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=0 \text { that is } \varrho=0 \text { that is } E(X Y)=E(X) E(Y)
$$

For e.g. for $X \sim U(-1,1)$ and $Y \equiv X^{2}$, we find that they are not correlated. But thet of course not independent.
iv) If $X$ and $Y$ are not correlated, then $X-\mu_{X}$ et $Y-\mu_{Y}$ are orthogonal. If $X$ and $Y$ are not correlated, and if $\mu_{X}$ or $\mu_{Y}$ is zero, then X and Y are orthogonal.

Exemple: Let

$$
f_{X, Y}(x, y)=2 \text { if }-y<x<y, 0<y<1
$$

and 0 elsewhere. We find

$$
f_{X}(x)=1-|x| \text { if }-1<x<1 \text { and } f_{Y}(y)=2 y \text { if } 0<y<1
$$

We find also that $E(X)=0$ and that the two rv are not correlated. On the other hand, one can show that they are not independent, as

$$
f_{X}(x) f_{Y}(y) \neq f_{X, Y}(x, y)
$$

$\odot$
Exemple: Let us show that the correlation coefficient of a bi-normal couple $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ is the parameter $r$ which appears in the density. This explains why from now on, we shall denote it by $\varrho$, and no more by $r$.
With the previous remarks, we may assume that $\mu_{X}=\mu_{Y}=0$. In this case as $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=E(X Y)$, it is enough to show that $E(X Y)=r \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}$, to get the result on $\varrho$. As

$$
\frac{x^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}-2 r \frac{x y}{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{\sigma_{2}^{2}}=\left(\frac{x}{\sigma_{1}}-r \frac{y}{\sigma_{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(1-r^{2}\right) \frac{y^{2}}{\sigma_{2}^{2}}
$$

we get

$$
E(X Y)=\frac{1}{\sigma_{2} \sqrt{2 \pi}} \int y e^{-y^{2} / 2 \sigma_{2}^{2}} \int \frac{x}{\sigma_{1} \sqrt{2 \pi\left(1-r^{2}\right)}} \exp \left[-\frac{\left(x-r y \sigma_{1} / \sigma_{2}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{1}^{2}\left(1-r^{2}\right)}\right] d x d y
$$

The inner integral is a normal density with mean $r y \sigma_{1} / \sigma_{2}$ multiplied by $x$. Thus it is equal to $r y \sigma_{1} / \sigma_{2}$. Thus

$$
E(X Y)=\frac{r \sigma_{1} / \sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{2} \sqrt{2 \pi}} \int y^{2} e^{-y^{2} / 2 \sigma_{2}^{2}} d y=r \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}
$$

$\odot$

## Proposition 3.5.1

If $X$ and $Y$ are independent, that is if

$$
f_{X, Y}(x, y)=f_{X}(x) f_{Y}(y)
$$

then they are not correlated.
This follows from the fact that in that case $E(X Y)=E(X) E(Y)$. More generally, if X and Y are independent, then we have also

$$
E(g(X) h(Y))=E(g(X)) E(h(Y))
$$

which is not true if we assume only that X and Y are not correlated.
Remarque: If two rv are not correlated, they are not necessarily independent.
However, for a bi-normal couple of rv, non correlation is equivalent to independence. Indeed, if $X$ and Y are two bi-normal rv , with $\varrho=r=0$, then $f(x, y)=f_{X}(x) f_{\mathrm{Y}}(y)$.

To find joint statistics of $X$ and $Y$, we need a priori to know their joint density. In practise, we know only their joint mean and variances, that is we only the five parameters

$$
\mu_{X}, \mu_{Y}, \sigma_{X}, \sigma_{Y} \text { et } \varrho_{X, Y}
$$

If X and Y are bi-normal, then these five parameters suffice to determine uniquely $f(x, y)$.
Exemple: Assume that the va X and Y are bi-normal, with

$$
\mu_{X}=10, \mu_{Y}=0, \sigma_{X}=2, \sigma_{Y}=1 \text { et } \varrho_{X, Y}=0,5
$$

Let us look to the joint density of

$$
Z=X+Y \text { and } W=X * Y
$$

We find

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{X}=\mu_{X}+\mu_{Y}=10, \mu_{W}=\mu_{X}-\mu_{Y}=10 \\
\sigma_{Z}^{2}=\sigma_{X}^{2}+\sigma_{Y}^{2}+2 \varrho_{X, Y} \sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y}=7, \sigma_{W}^{2}=\sigma_{X}^{2}+\sigma_{Y}^{2}-2 \varrho_{X, Y} \sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y}=3 \\
E(Z W)=E\left(X^{2}-Y^{2}\right)=100+4-1=103 \\
\varrho_{Z, W}=\frac{E(Z W)-E(Z) E(W)}{\sigma_{Z} \sigma_{W}}=\frac{3}{\sqrt{7 \times 3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, we know that Z and W are bi-normal, as they are linearly depending on X and Y . Thus their joint density is

$$
N(10,10 ; 7,3, \sqrt{3 / 7})
$$

$\odot$

## Variance

Let

$$
\mathrm{Z}=a_{0}+a_{1} X_{1}+\ldots+a_{n} X_{n}
$$

where the $a_{i}$ are given constants, and the $X_{i} r v$.
One can show that

## Proposition 3.5.2

We have

$$
\operatorname{Var}(Z)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{k}\right)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1, i<k}^{n} a_{i} a_{k} \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{k}\right)
$$

Note that the constant $a_{0}$ does not playany role in the variance of $Z$. We may also write the above formula as

$$
\operatorname{Var}(Z)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i} a_{k} \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{k}\right)
$$

Particular cases;
i) If the rv $X_{k}$ are independent (or even only non correlated), then

$$
\operatorname{Var}(Z)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{k}\right)
$$

ii) Assume that the rv $X_{k}$ sont i.i.d (standing for independent and dientically distributed, that is with same distribution function. Then, if

$$
S_{n} \equiv X_{1}+\ldots+X_{n}
$$

we have

$$
E\left(S_{n}\right)=n E\left(X_{1}\right) \text { and } \operatorname{Var}\left(S_{n}\right)=n \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}\right)
$$

Remarque: On the whole, we have

$$
E(X+Y)=E(X)+E(Y)
$$

If moreover the rv are independent, then

$$
E(X Y)=E(X) E(Y)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X+Y)={ }_{i n d} \operatorname{Var}(X)+\operatorname{Var}(Y)
$$

Note that in general $\operatorname{std}(X+Y) \neq \operatorname{std}(X)+\operatorname{std}(Y)$ even if $X$ and $Y$ are independent.

## Remark 3.5.1

We have seen that if $X$ and $Y$ were bi-normal, then the sum $a X+b Y$ is also normal. We may show also the following special case: if $X$ and $Y$ are independent and normal, then their sum $X+Y$ is also normal. In fact, we have the more difficult result (Cramer): if the rv $X$ and $Y$ are independent,
if their sum is normal, then they are also normal.
$\quad \odot$
One can also show that: if we know that the sum $a X+b Y$ is normal for all $a$ and $b$, then the rv X and Y are bi-normal. This is not true if we only admit a finite number of values for $a$ and $b$.

